

## “I Want to See the Queen” - The Experiences of Service Use by Ageing People with an Intellectual Disability

Christine Bigby, School of Social Work and Social Policy, La Trobe University

Marie Knox, School of Social Work and Human Services, Queensland University of Technology.

Contact.

[C.Bigby@latrobe.edu.au](mailto:C.Bigby@latrobe.edu.au)

### Abstract

People with intellectual disability are a relatively new but growing minority group within Australia's ageing population. Disability policies point to the equal right of people with disabilities to a quality life similar to other citizens. Disability services are increasingly required to provide individualised and responsive services, irrespective of age for people with life long disabilities. This study explored the everyday lives of older people with intellectual disability in Victoria and Queensland. It examined their experiences of using disability services and the ways services responded to their aging using Nolan, Davis and Grant's (2001) Senses Framework as the conceptual framework. Its aim was to inform practice and service development for older people with intellectual disability. Findings suggest that services facilitated important social relationships with other service users and staff. Most older people had a sense of belonging and led busy but directionless lives in two disconnected worlds. Their lives were subject to significant external present-focused control. Yet despite this neither services nor family members took responsibility for ensuring their sense of continuity or supporting the development of plans about their future. The experiences described suggest an urgent need for, but significant challenges in the implementation of holistic individualised planning similar to the UK concept of person centered planning.

## “I Want to See the Queen” - The Experiences of Service Use by Ageing People with an Intellectual Disability

People with an intellectual disability are a small but growing minority group of Australia's ageing population for whom a dramatic change in longevity has occurred over the past 50 year. For example, the life expectancy of people with Down syndrome almost doubled from 26 years in 1983 to 49 years in 1997 (Carter & Jancar, 1983; Janicki, Dalton, Henderson & Davidson, 1999; Yang, Rasmussen & Friedman, 2002). Although those with more severe or multiple impairments or specific genetic syndromes continue to have a lowered life expectancy, most people with mild to moderate intellectual disability can now expect to live as long as their non-disabled peers (Janicki et al., 1999). Ageing is a relatively new phenomenon for people with intellectual disability, and the first substantially sized cohort are now reaching old age (Gething 1999; Bigby 2004).

Contemporary disability policy in Australia and overseas emphasizes the equality of people with intellectual disabilities, their rights to be included in the community and to have a quality of life similar to other citizens (Mansell & Ericsson, 1996; Shakespeare, 2006). For example the principles of equality, dignity and self determination, diversity and non discrimination underpin the Victorian State Disability Plan (Department of Human Services, 2002) and the vision of the Queensland Government Disability Services Plan is that of “A society that values people with a disability, upholds their rights and supports their equitable participation in everyday life” (Disability Services Queensland Strategic Plan 2007-2111, p.2). Primary strategies to implement disability policy are specialist disability services and mechanisms such as disability action plans to improve access to services and facilities available to the general population (Bigby, 2007). Reflecting the broader policy trends of the past decade, legislation and policy requires disability services to be flexible, responsive to individual needs and occur in explicit partnership with informal carers (Baldock & Evers, 2001; Bigby & Ozanne, 2001). For example, section 25 of the Disability Services Act, 2006, Qld states ‘services to be tailored to meet individual needs and goals’, while section 52 of the Victorian Disability Act, 2006 referring to planning for individuals undertaken by services states; ‘Planning should— (a) be individualised; (b) be directed by the person with a disability; (c) where relevant, consider and respect the role of family and other persons who are significant in the life of the person with a disability’. Similar legislative provisions are found in other Australian States.

Such imperatives are very similar to the person centred planning (PCP) approach found in the UK policy for people with intellectual disability Valuing People (Department of Health, 2002). PCP places the aspirations and views of the person with intellectual disability in the centre of a web of formal and informal sources of support, very clearly acknowledging their complementarity and the need for partnership (O'Brien & O'Brien, 2000). Although the UK policy mandates PCP across all age groups, PCP together with health plans are identified as primary mechanisms to ensure an effective response to each individual's needs as they age. Along side the development of PCP, disability service systems in both the UK and Australia are reorientating, and shifting from the block funding of services to individualised funding mechanisms, that aim to increase the control, choice and flexibility of people with a disability over the support they receive (Davey et al., 2007; Stainton & Boyce, 2004). However, many such programs remain in the pilot/demonstration phase and include relatively small numbers of people with intellectual disability (Stainton, in press).

Australian policies about disability service provision have no age qualifiers for people with life long disability and there are no specific policies to guide the provision of services to this group by either the disability or age care sectors. It was clear from a 2007 national enquiry into the Commonwealth State and Territory Disability Services Agreement that disability service organisations are grappling with the implications of their clients aging and to respond to issues such as retirement and aging in place within their existing program structures (Bigby, 2008; Senate Community Affairs Reference Committee, 2007). It is also clear from a Victorian survey that residential aged care facilities struggle to accommodate the needs of older people with intellectual disabilities (Bigby, Webber, McKenzie-Green & Bowers, 2008).

Research suggests that people with intellectual disabilities who are ageing face particular challenges due to characteristics and life experiences associated with their lifelong disability, such as limited informal social networks, poor health status, premature aging and high incidence of early onset dementia (Bigby, 2000; Cooper, 1997; Tor & Chiu, 2002), and as a result of structural aspects of society such as discrimination and low expectations of staff (Walker & Walker 1998; Bigby et al., 2001; Moss & Hogg, 1989). Studies that have sought the perspectives of people with intellectual disability suggest they have definite views about exercising choice and remaining active as they age (Edgerton & Gaston, 1991; Hand & Reid,

1989; Mahon & Mactavish, 2000). In a similar vein studies have found they are reluctant to retire from paid work or formal day activities and wish to remain engaged in a range of social and leisure activities (Ashman, Suttie & Bramley, 1995; Bigby 1992, 1997a). It is suggested that their understanding of aging is often based on stereotypical images, and they are concerned about the negative changes such as a lonely life style or loss of job (Lifshitz, 2000; Erickson, Krauss & Seltzer, 1989). Studies point to the validity of such concerns, and that despite their aspirations, older people with intellectual disabilities experience few opportunities to participate in meaningful activities of their choice (Bigby 1992, 1997a; Grant, McGrath & Ramcharan 1995; Hawkins 1999; Rogers, Hawkins & Eklund, 1998) and as they age their access to specialist disability services is likely to be reduced and restricted (Bigby 2000; Thompson, Ryrie & Wright, 2001).

Whilst the characteristics of people aging with an intellectual disability are increasingly well mapped, and some insight has been gained into their views about aging, limited knowledge exists about their experiences of service use which could inform service development. Conceptualisation of service needs has relied on frameworks derived from earlier parts of the lifecourse, in particular Quality of Life (Brown & Brown, 2003; Felce & Perry, 1995). Eight key domains are commonly identified as contributing to a person's overall wellbeing: physical; emotional: mental health; interpersonal relations; material; personal development; self determination; social inclusion; and rights (Schalock & Alonso, 2002). Quality of life is however, still a contested concept surrounded by considerable critique and debate in relation to its conceptualisation and measurement (Hatton, 1998). Bigby (2004) suggested that Nolan, Davies and Grant's (2001) 'Senses Framework' which aims to provide directions for care staff and guide service development across a range of settings for older people may be useful in informing the development of quality services for older people with intellectual disability. This approach positions outcomes against the subjective views and perceptions of the older person him or herself rather than externally imposed subjective criteria, and sensitises professionals to key dimensions of importance in older person's lives, which are suggested as achieving a "sense of" security, continuity, belonging, purpose, achievement and significance.

This study explored the everyday lives of older people with intellectual disability in Victoria and Queensland and their experiences of using disability services as they aged. It used Nolan et al's (2001) 'Senses' as a conceptual framework to understand the experiences of this group

of service users with the aim of informing practice and service development for older people with intellectual disability.

## **Method**

### *Methodological Approach*

Social constructionism theory (Crotty, 1998) with its focus on how individuals construct their social worlds provided a lens to guide this study. It enabled multiple perspectives of the same phenomenon (ageing, planning and future aspirations), and the negotiation and construction of these among the varying key players to be explored. Consistent with this approach, qualitative methods of data collection and analysis were used. Approval for the study was granted by the relevant University Ethics Committees.

### *Participants*

Purposive sampling was used to locate individuals labeled by the service system as having intellectual disability, aged 50 years or more and who could communicate verbally. With the assistance of four disability service or advocacy organisations in Victoria and Queensland, information about the study and invitations to participate were given to persons meeting the above criteria using these services. Those interested in participating in the study were asked to contact the researchers directly which allowed the privacy of potential participants to be maintained.

Older people wishing to participate were asked to nominate a family member or someone in a close unpaid relationship and a direct care worker who might also be interested in participating and to give them information about the study, with the assistance of a representative from the organisation if needed. In all, sixteen triads, comprising a person with intellectual disability, a family member or someone in a close unpaid relationship and a direct care worker participated in the study.

The sixteen participants with intellectual disability were aged between 52 – 80 years with a mean of 62 years. Their characteristics are set out in Table 1. Participants were equally divided between Victoria and Queensland and within states between major urban, rural and regional locations. All had been in supported employment for much of their adult lives. Only five were still employed and the remaining eleven participants attended day programs part time and remained at home with no formal program on the other days. Of the family members or others with a close relationship, eleven were a parent or sibling, two were volunteers who had become close to the person, one was a former employee who maintained

regular contact with the person, and one was a church member. All care provider participants had known the person with intellectual disability for more than a year.

Insert Table 1 about here

### *Procedure*

In depth interviews, described by Hesse-Biber and Levy (2006) as “a meaning-making endeavour embarked on as a partnership between the interviewer and his or her respondent” (p. 119), were conducted with each older person on their life as an older person and their aspirations. This acknowledged the expertise of the participants on these issues and provided them the opportunity to express their views in their own terms (Minichiello, Aroni, Timewell, & Alexander, 1995).

The interviews were conducted by a research assistant experienced in working with people with intellectual disability. Interviews lasted between 60-90 minutes. Separate interviews were conducted with the family member or the person with a close unpaid relationship, and with the service provider identified by each person. These latter interviews asked about their perceptions of the person’s aging, the kind of support they provided and their ideas about what the future held. Throughout the interview checks were made with participants to ensure they had the opportunity to discuss relevant issues and to ensure the accuracy of the researcher’s interpretations of their views (Knox, Mok & Parmenter, 2000).

### *Data Management & Analysis*

Each interview was audio taped and transcribed. These transcriptions formed the data, and qualitative data analysis strategies were used as outlined by, amongst others Miles and Huberman (1994). This involved a process of coding, developing categories and constantly comparing and regrouping these categories to elicit the themes and relationships amongst these themes. Analysis of cases was undertaken separately by each author and then discussed and further refined.

This was an exploratory study with 16 older adults, which focussed on their lived experiences, and from which generalisations cannot be made. Qualitative research of this kind should be evaluated on the basis of trustworthiness, which includes credibility, transferability and confirmability (Lincoln & Guba, 1995; Cresswell, 1998). Transferability has been addressed by the rich thick description of the situation of the participants derived from interview transcripts. A process of peer debriefing occurred on a frequent and regular

basis within the research team. Direct member checking occurred as interviews were conducted to ensure accurate understanding of what had been said.

## **Findings**

### *Being Connected and Valued*

For all except one person services were an important catalyst for an identifiable set of positive social relationships with staff and other service users which gave older people a sense of belonging, being valued and recognised as an individual.

Malcolm [a service user] spoke of his role in the business service, “I’m the second one up in command. I’m the supervisor ... He [staff member] said I was perfect ... He leaves me in charge”.

A staff member spoke of the affection with which Wally was held despite his idiosyncratic crankiness. “everybody loves him, he’s a grumpy old bugger he is, but he’s everybody’s favourite”.

Rod [service user] talked about his friends at work, who included staff as well as other service users. “I got friends... Mandy [program manager], is my friend, also Peter [staff member] when he comes down... and Ted and Mike our supervisor and Andrea our new boss.... one boy down there in the book section, Doug”.

The close and long-term friendships, and sometimes intimate relationships, with other service users originated in disability programs and were maintained through shared activities, either in the service setting or community venues after hours. Such activities did not involve ‘outsiders’ nor broaden social relationships beyond service users. Friendships seldom crossed the boundary of their ‘service world’.

Angie has been married to Paddy for 10 years, who is also a service user. They met in a supported accommodation service and both now worked together at a supported employment service. They bowl every week with their friends from work.

Paul, a staff member talked about the contained nature of service user's world when he noted, "pretty much all of them go to bowls ... they all associate on the weekends at bowling".

Malcolm, a service user, pointed to the importance of his relationship with Meg, another service user, but also to the restrictions imposed on it. That's my girlfriend. I see Meg every day, Meg used to be down at the day program with me and I used to see her every day and then I was shifted and Meg went up to Harvey street. I still see her Mondays and Wednesdays but not Fridays.

Most older people also had enduring relationships with family members, who consistently gave them a sense of their own value and an identity beyond that of service user. As Amy's sister said, "she's just Amy to us". For most, a family member played a key instrumental or supportive role, such as managing finances, overseeing affairs or for some providing support with activities of daily living.

Jed's service provider said, "Jess [sister] plays a big part in his life - she's always been there".

Angie [service user] spoke of the practical support given by her in-laws, "Paddy's dad and Alison [mother in law] pay all our bills and get the money for us; they do all the banking for us too".

Relationships with family generally involved shared social activities such as outings or visiting. Older people and service providers alluded to the changes occurring to family relationships as parents died, and other family members, usually siblings or nieces and nephews, had taken over some of the roles previously fulfilled by parents. Two participants, notably both with no family contact had a friendly relationship with volunteers which had been facilitated by their day service.

Ivor [service user], first met his volunteer friend Jamie through an initiative between the church and the day program whereby dances were held at the church. Jamie described their relationship as, "blossoming into a friendship" and it has opened up for Ivor a new circle of social acquaintances beyond the service world. Ivor said,



“Jamie, he’s my friend, he’s the only contact now I get. Yeah, he’s mine and he takes me out for morning tea, afternoon tea and everything.”

Janine’s situation was exceptional. She had moved to a retirement village following the death of her parents and had antagonistic relationships with some of the other residents and did not participate in the activities organized in the village. Her case manager said of Janine.

No part at all of a social group, she doesn’t go into the restaurant, they’ve got a restaurant and they have a bus that they go out so she doesn’t participate in any of those things. She has no social network.

Janine did not mention relationships with other service users and unlike the other older people she did not spend a significant portion of her time in a segregated disability service only attending a day program 2 days a week. Though she largely inhabited a non service world, living in an ‘inclusive’ retirement village, she lacked social relationships with family, friends or acquaintances to offset the absence of relationships with other service users.

### *Keeping Active and Being Controlled*

Most of the older people routinely participated in a range of activities. Joanne, a service provider suggested a key function of services was, “keeping them occupied, that’s the best”. Trevor, a service user indicated a busy routine at his day centre,

“Ooh yeah, I love it, then Wednesdays I go bowling again, twice bowling. ..and Thursdays we go shopping. .. last week we went in the country. ..and we go different places. ..Fridays we go to the country for a drive. .. sometimes we go for a barbeque

Both large and small decisions were made for these older people by staff and family.

Janine [service user] talked about the difficulties she encountered in trying to move, “I want to but the state trustee, they won’t do nothing about it. They took me to court and they said you live in this house”.

Judy [service user] expressed her opposition to the decision made by others about her retirement. All of a sudden they told me they didn’t want me anymore. [they said] you can ring up and go to the Willows and have a coffee and a chat, and I said

“what’s the point in doing that? Catching a bus all the way out to Willow’s just for a coffee and a chat”.

Rachel [service user] vividly describes the control exercised over the activities in her day program, “they always say you have to do what everybody else does. ... well say when everyone else is dancing they say “you’ve got to dance” ... And you do it ...I just keep on my own way ... always doing things the way other people want me to do”

Janie [service user] described her desire to go to England to visit the royal family she commented, my sister-in-law’s got different plans for me. ..When I get old, really old and Donna [service provider] told me this too I have to go to a nursing home because they can’t take care of you.

#### *Service Centered Present Focused Decisions*

The decisions that others took for the older people appeared to be service rather than person centered with operational service requirements taking precedence over their needs or interests. For several older people, the potential for decisions made by others to jeopardise their future quality of life was clearly illustrated.

Caroline [service user] said, Donna [staff member] used to take us shopping on a Wednesdays but now she can’t do it for some reason. When I first came over here we used to have Aged Care at our place every day. They closed down that service because they can’t afford to send anybody else.

Rachel [service user] described her views on having to move from her long term workshop to a day program. They were all stapling down there and I used to go along counting all the papers and count up to 40 and when I got up to 40 ...stapled them down by a stapler ... I were at the workshop for over 25 years. From there I went right upstairs to the day program and I went onto paper work and I made coffee and teas and all those sorts of things ... I’d rather be doing stapling. I know that I want to do stapling again ... I’ve told the supervisor so many times ... I don’t like it here and I’ve got no friends here and I’d like to get paid again

Both staff and the older people were frustrated by the absence of an orientation to the future by senior management or in organizational policies and operating procedures. All older people had firm ideas about the future but received little support to follow these through.

Hilda, a service provider talked about the management of her organisation, “they don’t think about, when people get older, where are they going to put them you know, they could put them anywhere you know”.

Malcolm [service user] for example, who lived in a rural accommodation services said, “I’d like to live with my girlfriend - that’s what I’ve got in the back of my mind. I’d like to have my own house with her. I’ve worked out how I can move .. but it’s not happening”.

Rachel [service user] who lived with her elderly parents had a vision of what she wanted for her future. I’d like to move somewhere where there’s no stairs and somewhere where there lots of shops and trains ... I’ve had too much of here ... Forty years nearly forty-one. I’d like to live near my sister – that would make it home.

Freda [service user] said, I’d like to put my name down for a nursing home later on, down the track because now I’m getting on. I like to go to Good Samaritan but I don’t know how to go about it. How to go to the doctors and ask for a form or go to the Good Samaritan and ask for a form, I don’t know?

Some families had thought about the future but others particularly those where parents still played a major role, were reluctant to think explicitly about it or discuss it with service providers.

Rod [service user] said, my brother George, comes around a lot. My sister said if she passed away I’ve got to go to George’s home”.

Rachel’s mother unlike Rachel [service user] had no clear ideas about the future, saying, I just take each day as it comes. I know the girls [her other daughters] will do the right thing ... Yes, I don’t like to think about it. What’s going to happen to her?

It's a terrible feeling... I'm quite happy with her here ...She loves all her things around her

### *The Impact of Living in Separate Worlds*

The lives of these older people fell into two distinct and quite separate spheres - the service world and the non service world. For those with social relationships in both worlds each seemed equally important but little knowledge or communication passed across the boundaries. Family members had only a fleeting involvement with service organisations or the staff who worked in them and knew little of the older person's life in the service world.

Claude (family member) said. "We're continually forming relationships with different staff",

Malcolm's mother said about the day service, "We don't know that much that goes on there"

Another family member said, there's not much communication between the centre, you might go down there once a year to speak with staff ... I don't take too much notice actually. I feel better if I don't, I don't know how long they'd keep her there.

Communication was often limited to everyday matters and services did not appear to take a lead in raising questions about the future with families.

Referring to future planning Julie a service provider said. "We've never had that discussion [with families]. I don't think any of them would think of the future, they just go along with the flow you know"

The disconnection between family members and the service world meant that no one was aware of the complete picture of each older person's life. Paid staff consistently reflected that they knew little of the person's history or their life beyond the service confines. For example comments by a staff member about Wally's annual visitors suggested a lack of knowledge about his family as well as perhaps enormous lost opportunities.

He only sees them once a year they pick him up and he goes out to have dinner with them ever year. I don't know who they are. they've been doing it for years.

Indeed there was a sense that no one from either of the two worlds had a mandate to know the whole person or the right to do so. Margaret expressed this point cogently when she noted the limitations of her staff role.

My role is limited in a certain way ...Laura's been in Algester about 30 years I think ... see I'm not too sure of her history. I think she was 18 when she went to Algester, I think. I'm not too sure.

The lack of communication limited shared problem-solving as those in each world made assumptions about each other, and were unsure of their mandate to cross boundaries. For example, Amy's service provider said about the future, "I think the family has got that sorted out. Least I think so" Another staff member said, "we're aware of boundaries with family, and we can't do it we can only suggest it"

The control exercised by others and the present focused nature of decisions they made for the older people, not only reduced their autonomy but risked the continuation of activities that were important to them and a fracturing of their relationships with other service users. The rigid boundaries that limited the focus of families and service providers alike and the disconnection from each other left older people without support to pursue their interests or to work on their own plans and ideas for the future.

A typical example is Janie who, as she pointed out, needs support to pursue her goal of going to see the Queen, "but I can't do that on my own ... I'm not allowed I'm not allowed to travel." Despite her dream being acknowledged by both her service and non-service world, "she wants to go to England" (family member), "she wants to visit the Queen and that's part of her goals, her long term goals" (service provider), and having sufficient personal funds for herself and a carer to travel to England, it was not addressed. Like the realisation of most goals for people with intellectual disability of all ages, Janie's required skilled effort, collaboration and advocacy. But for her like the other people in this study, there was no collaboration between her separate worlds, and an absence of advocacy to force any issues on her behalf.

While the relationships and support provided by services and families were central to older people's well-being, the focus of support was active *current* lifestyle. No one knew these older people well enough or had a sufficient sense of responsibility towards them to plan with them as a whole person collaboratively across both the boundaries of family and service worlds. As a result, despite their ideas about the future, older people like Janie led busy but directionless lives, Janie had seemingly resigned herself to this, while still keeping hold of her dream. At the moment, she takes the next best option in pursuing her dream by looking at books of the Royal family borrowed from the library.

### **Discussion and Conclusions**

All of this small group of older people were in receipt of one or more specialist disability support services. They are not part of the estimated 27,800 and 3,700 Australians with a disability who respectively have an unmet need for accommodation or respite care and community access services, (AIHW, 2007). Yet this study has shown that the support they receive and the characteristics of their lives fall far short of the principles and rhetorical goals found in current disability policy. Nolan et al's (2001) Sense Framework helps bring into focus where change is required to reduce the gap between the policy rhetoric and reality of older people's lives.

With one exception, all the older people had a social network of meaningful relationships which gave them a sense of belonging to various 'communities' and a sense of significance. Many of these relationships were fostered by attendance at disability services, and similar to other research (Bigby, 1997a) this study suggest relationships with other services users are vulnerable to fracture by decisions made by others about retirement. This study like other research (Bigby, 2000, 1997b) demonstrates the partial replacement of parental roles by siblings and more distant relatives, suggesting initiatives to build and nurture relationships are particularly important for older people, whose social networks are vulnerable to shrinkage as family member's age, and relationships with other service users are threatened. Like many other people with intellectual disability, this group of older people lived in a 'distinct social space' (Todd, Evans, & Beyer, 1990) comprised of family, paid staff and others with intellectual disability. Largely absent were relationships with unpaid people without disabilities. For most too their distinct social space was divided into two disconnected spheres, family and services. The friendships with volunteers of two older men, who both

attended the same service, demonstrate however possibilities for boundary crossing. In their case initiatives taken in the service world provided the opportunity for them not only to develop relationships in the informal sphere, but ones that formed a bridge into participation in community groups, friends or acquaintances without disabilities. Older people with intellectual disabilities can have a sense of belonging and be part of the envisioned ‘inclusive community’ (Department of Human Services, 2002), as well as family and service communities, but this requires effort and vision by the service world to develop initiatives to provide the opportunities. The importance of building informal networks or circles of support around people with intellectual disabilities to support person centred planning, community inclusion and a form of protection when parents die is well recognised in the literature (O’Brien & O’Brien, 2000; Etmanski, 2000). It is not clear however, where in the Australian service system responsibility lies for building informal relationships of older people with intellectual disability. Such boundary spanning is the *raison d’etre* of case managers (Moxley, 1989) and social workers (Payne, 1995) but such roles, with one exception, or staff with a mandate for this task did not figure in the types of services delivered to the older people in this study.

The evidence about the busy lives led by the older people in this study, their enjoyment of the activities in which they participated, and their clearly articulated ideas about the future, suggests it is safe to conclude they had a sense of purpose. However, a connection between their activities and own interests or goals for the future was not always evident. They received little or no support to pursue their own goals, which were left in abeyance as operational service needs or the wishes of others took precedence. Thus a substantial obstacle to gaining a sense of achievement by realising their goals was created for this group of older people.

Detailed data about health and other forms of daily care received is not reported here, so there is insufficient evidence to draw conclusions about their receipt of sensitive and competent care and thus their sense of security. However, their own uncertainty about their future care and that of staff in the services they attended and family members alike is not indicative of a sense of security.

The final ‘sense’ is that of continuity which is defined by Nolan et al., (2006) as “recognition of biography, using the past to make sense of the present, and help to plan the future; working within a consistent team using an agreed philosophy of care” (p. 9). Many of the characteristics identified in the lives of these older people contributed to a fractured sense of continuity; the division of their lives between parallel unconnected worlds fragmented

their biographies and the support they received, and meant no one had the role of boundary crossing or challenging the piecemeal present focussed decisions or took responsibility for supporting them to refine and implement their plans.

This study has shown that policies of individualised services and planning that reflect the individual's needs and goals have not touched the lives of this group of older people, and suggests that at a systemic level policy and program development to support older people with intellectual disability is yet to occur in Australia. Such development must consider questions of how to achieve more successfully a sense of continuity, purpose, achievement, security, belonging and significance for older people with intellectual disability. Direct funding or individual budget mechanisms are a potential force to drive more individualised support for older people with intellectual disability. However, many of this group, like those in this study, are long time users of traditional block funded day support, employment or accommodation support services and are unlikely to be first in line for the new funding which is more likely to be tied to innovative delivery mechanisms. The study also suggests that significant work will be required to implement individualised planning with this group. The challenge will be to knit together the different fragments of people's lives to develop future orientated plans informed by the person and those with whom they have significant relationships from both the service and non service world, and to find or build sources of formal or informal support to enable plan implementation and person centred action to occur (Mansell & Beadle Brown, 2006). To successfully implement contemporary disability policy and notions of PCP strategies will need to consider some of the some of the questions raised by this study; why are people's worlds so separate? What are the obstacles to greater collaboration? Why do service not support the implementation of people's own ideas about the future? What stops services from listening and taking notice of what older people are saying? What stops both services and families letting go of some of the control over people's lives? In particular, consideration must be given to the skills required and who, within what part of the service system should be responsible for individual planning and taking the lead in turning drifting between two worlds into more purposeful lives for older people that reflect their own biography.



## References

- Ashman, A., Suttie, J., & Bramley, J. (1995). Employment, retirement and elderly persons with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 39 2, 107-115.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (2006). *National evaluation of the Aged Care Innovative Pool Disability Aged Care Interface Pilot: final report*. Aged care series no. 12. Cat. no. AGE 50, Canberra: AIHW.
- Baldock, J., & Evers, A. (1991). Innovations and care of the elderly: the front line of change for social welfare services *Ageing International*, XVIII(1), 8-21.
- Bigby, C. (2008). Beset by obstacles: Aging in place for people with intellectual disability, *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities* 33 (1), 1-11.
- Bigby, C. (2007). The challenge of implementing state disability policy to achieve second generation rights for people with disabilities. *Just Policy*, 43, 68-75
- Bigby, C. (2004). *Aging with a lifelong disability: Policy, program and practice issues for professionals*. London: Jessica Kingsley.
- Bigby, C. (1997a). Later life for adults with intellectual disability: A time of opportunity and vulnerability. *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability*. 22, 97-108
- Bigby, C. (1997b). When parents relinquish care. The informal support networks of older people with intellectual disability. *Journal of Applied Intellectual Disability Research* 10.4, 333-344
- Bigby, C. (1992). Access and linkage: Two critical issues for older people with an intellectual disability. *Australia and New Zealand Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 18, 95-110.
- Bigby, C. (2000). *Moving on without parents: Planning, transitions and sources of support for older adults with intellectual disabilities*. New South Wales/ Baltimore: McLennan+Petty/ P H Brookes.
- Bigby, C. & Clement, T. (2007). Making Life Good in the Community – Building inclusive communities: Facilitating *community participation* for people with severe intellectual disabilities, Melbourne, Department of Human Services
- Bigby, C., Fyffe, C., Balandin, S., Gordon, M., McCubbery, J.(2001). *Day support services options for older adults with a disability*. National Disability Administrators Group,

Melbourne.

- Bigby, C., & Ozanne, E. (2001). Shifts in the model of service delivery in intellectual disability in Victoria. *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability*, 26, 2, 177-190
- Bigby, C., Webber., R., McKenzie-Green, B., Bowes. B. (2008). A survey of people with intellectual disabilities living in residential aged care facilities in Victoria. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*. 52, 404-414
- Bowey, L. & McGlaughlin, A. (2005). Adults with a learning disability living with elderly carers talk about planning for the future: Aspirations and concerns. *British Journal of Social Work*, 35(8), 1377-1392.
- Carter, G., & Jancar, J. (1983). Mortality in the mentally handicapped: a 50 year survey at the Stoke Park group of hospitals (1930-1980). *Journal of Mental Deficiency Research*, 27(2), 143-156.
- Cooper, S. (1997). Deficient health and social services for elderly people with learning disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 41(4), 331-338.
- Creswell, J. (1998). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five traditions*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Crotty, M. (1998). *The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process*, St Leonards, NSW, Australia: Allen & Unwin.
- Davey, V., Fernández, J.-L., Knapp, M., Vick, N., Jolly, D., Swift, P., Tobin, R., Kendall, J., Ferrie, J., Pearson, C., Mercer, G. & Priestley, M. (2007) *Direct Payments: A National Survey of Direct Payments Policy and Practice*. London: PSSRU
- Department of Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs (2007) *Planning for the future. People with a disability*, Commonwealth Government Canberra.  
[www.facsia.gov.au](http://www.facsia.gov.au)
- Department of Health (2001). *Valuing people: A new strategy for learning disability for the 21st Century*, (CM 5068). London: TSO.
- Department of Human Services, Disability Services (2002). *Victorian state disability plan*, Melbourne.
- Disability Services Queensland (2006). *Strategic plan, 2007-2011*. Brisbane.
- Edgerton, R., & Gaston, M. (1991). *"I've seen it all" Lives of older persons with mental retardation in the community*, Baltimore. Maryland: Paul H Brookes.

- Erickson, M., Krauss, M., & Seltzer, M. (1989). Perceptions of old age among a sample of mentally retarded persons. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 8, 251-260.
- Etmanski, A. (2000). *A good life for you and your relative with a disability*. British Columbia: Orwell Cove and Planned Lifetime Advocacy Network.
- Felce, D., & Perry, J. (1995). Quality of life: its definition and measurement. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 16(51-74).
- Gething, L. (1999). *We're growing older, too: Quality of life and service provision issues for people with long standing disabilities who are ageing*. Sydney: The Community Disability and Ageing Program, the University of Sydney.
- Grant, C., McGrath, M., & Ramcharan, P. (1995). Community inclusion of older adults with learning disabilities. *Care in place. International Journal of Network and Community*, 2(1), 29-44.
- Hand, J., & Reid, P. (1989). Views and recollections of older people with intellectual handicaps in New Zealand. *Australia and New Zealand Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 15, 231-240.
- Hatton, C. (1998). Whose quality of life is it anyway?. Some problems with the emerging quality of life consensus. *Mental Retardation*, 36(2), 104-115.
- Heller, T, Caldwell, J. & Factor, A. (2007) Aging family caregivers: Policies and practices. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 13(2), 136-142.
- Hesse-Biber, S., & Leavy, P. (2006). *The practice of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Janicki, M., Dalton, A., Henderson, M., & Davidson, P. (1999). Mortality and morbidity among older adults with intellectual disability: health services considerations. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 21(5), 284-294.
- Janicki, M., & Ansello, E. (2000). *Community supports for aging adults with lifelong disabilities*. Baltimore: Brookes.
- Knox, M., Mok, M., & Parmenter, T. (2000). Working with the experts: Collaborative research with people with an intellectual disability. *Disability & Society*, 15, 49-61.
- Lifshitz, H. (2000). Conceptualisation of age and ageing by adolescents, adult and elderly people with mental retardation. *Education and Training in Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities*, 35(4), 374-383.
- Lincoln, Y., & Guba, E. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Beverly Hills. CA.: Sage.

- Mahon, M., & Mactavish, J. (2000). A sense of belonging. In M. Janicki & E. Ansello (Eds.), *Community supports for aging adults with lifelong disabilities* (pp. 41-53). Baltimore: Brookes.
- Mansell, J. & Beadle-Brown, J. (2006). 'Person-centred planning or person-centred action? Policy and practice in intellectual disability services. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities* 17, 1-9.
- Mansell, J., and Ericsson, K. (1996). *Deinstitutionalisation and community living: Intellectual disability services in Britain, Scandinavia and United States*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Miles, M., & Huberman, A. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Minichiello, V., Aroni, R., Timewell, E., & Alexander, L. (1995). *In-depth interviewing: Principles, techniques, analysis* (2nd ed.). Melbourne: Longman.
- Moss, S., & Hogg, J. (1989). A cluster analysis of support networks of older people with severe intellectual impairment. *Australia and New Zealand Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 15, 169-188.
- Moxley, D. (1989). *The practice of case management* Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Nolan, M., Davies, S. & Grant, G (2001) (Eds). *Working with older people and their families: Key issues in policy and practice*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Nolan, M., Davies, S., & Brown, J. (2006) Transitions in Care Homes: towards relationship-centred care using the Senses Framework. *Quality in Aging*, 7, 3, 5-14
- O'Brien, C., & O'Brien, J. (2000). The origins of person-centred planning: A community of practice perspective. In J. O'Brien (Ed.): *Responsive system associates*.
- Payne, M. (1995). *Social work and community care*. Basingstoke: MacMillan.
- Prasher, V., & Janicki, M. (2002). *Physical health of adults with intellectual disabilities*. Oxford: Blackwell publishing.
- Rogers, N., Hawkins, B., & Eklund, S. (1998). The nature of lesiure in the lives of older adults with intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 42(part 2), 122-130.
- Senate Community Affairs Reference Committee (SCARC) (2007). *The Commonwealth State/Territory Disability Agreement*. Commonwealth of Australia.
- Schalock, R., and Alonso, M. . (2002). *Handbook on quality of life for human services practitioners*. Washington: AAMR.
- Shakespeare, T. (2006). *Disability, rights and wrongs*. Abingdon: Routledge.

- Stainton, T, (in press). Individualised funding: An international review of approaches, outcomes and challenges. In Bigby, C, & Fyffe, C. Proceedings the Roundtable on Intellectual Disability Policy: Implementing Individualised Funding. Melbourne: LaTrobe University
- Stainton, T. and Boyce, S. (2004) 'I Have Got My Life Back': User's Experience of Direct Payments. *Disability and Society*, Vol. 19 No.5, 443-454.
- Thompson, D. J., Ryrie, I., & Wright, S. (2004) People with intellectual disabilities living in generic residential services for older people in the UK. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 17, 101-108.
- Todd, S., Evans, G., & Beyer, S. (1990). More recognised than known: The social visibility and attachment of people with developmental disabilities. *Australia and New Zealand Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 16, 207-218.
- Torr, J., & Chiu, E. (2002). The elderly with intellectual disability and mental disorder: a challenge for old age psychiatry. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 15(4), 383-386.
- Walker, A., & Walker, C. (1998). Age or disability? Age based disparities in service provision for older people with intellectual disabilities in Great Britain. *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability*, 23(1), 25-40.
- Yang, Q., Rasmussen, S., & Friedman, J. (2002). Mortality associated with Down's syndrome in the USA from 1983 to 1997: a population based study. *The Lancet*, 359(9311), 1019.

Table 1. Participant Characteristics

<b>Participant Characteristics</b>				
<b>Person</b>	<b>Gender</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Occupation</b>	<b>Accommodation</b>
Rachel	Female	62	Involuntarily retired	Family home with parents
Rod	Male	62	Works in sheltered workshop	Family home with sister
Angie	Female	55	Works in sheltered workshop	Private rental with husband
John	Male	56	Works in sheltered workshop	Own house with drop in support
Janine	Female	58	Has never worked	Own unit in retirement village
Amy	Female	80	Retired	Group home
Judy	Female	64	Has never worked	Group home
Malcolm	Male	62	Works in sheltered workshop	Group home
Ivor	Male	57	Has never worked	Group home
Laura	Female	63	Involuntarily Retired; Currently doing voluntary work	Group home
Trevor	Male	52	Voluntary work	Alone rental flat
Caroline	Female	60	Voluntary work in the past	Group home
Jed	Male	71	Voluntary work in the past. Now retired	Group home
Janie	Female	53	Used to work in sheltered workshop. Now retired	Group home
Freda	Female	68	Works in sheltered workshop	Group home
Wally	Male	69	Worked in sheltered workshop in the past. Now retired	Group home